



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/810,823 | 03/29/2004 | Jang Hui Cho | | 1740-000070/US/COA | 1001 | |
| | 7590 · 06/26/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER' | | |
| P.O. BOX 8910 |) | | | SHIBRU, HELEN | | |
| RESTON, VA | 20195 | | ſ | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 2621 | | |
| | • | | | | • | |
| | | | : [| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | | 06/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/810,823 | CHO ET AL. | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | HELEN SHIBRU | 2621 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | ig date of the final reject | ion. | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 706.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing day). | 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off ate of the final rejection, | ate extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in : | o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a). | ne appeai. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow): | TE below); | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1. | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | s): | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,11 and 14-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | ivit or other evidence | is necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears only and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant to See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | alis to provide a (1). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been continuation. Sheet. | out does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ance because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |

13. 🔲 Other: ____

Continuation of 3 and 11. NOTE: In the proposed amendment claim 1 recites computer readable recording medium. A recording medium alone defined as a piece of paper, however a computer readable recording medium could be a CD and/or DVD.

2